

1 THE TAYLER LAW FIRM, P.C.
2 William C. Tayler (Bar No. 171704)
3 1804 Garnet Avenue, No. 505
San Diego, California 92109
Telephone: (858) 272-8574

4 Attorney for Plaintiff
5 San Diego Bike & Kayak Tours, Inc.

FILED

07 NOV 14 AM 8:35

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY

JMB

DEPUTY

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 '07 CV 2173

(L (CAB))

10 SAN DIEGO BIKE & KAYAK TOURS, INC., a)
11 California corporation,)

12 Plaintiff,)

13 v.)

14 LA JOLLA KAYAK & COMPANY, LLC, a)
15 limited liability company, LA JOLLA KAYAK,)
16 LLC, a California corporation; MICHAEL)
LUSCOMB, an individual; SHARON)
LUSCOMB, an individual,)

17 Defendants.)

CASE NO.:

COMPLAINT FOR

(1) DECLARATORY RELIEF OF
NON-INFRINGEMENT OF
UNREGISTERED TRADEMARK;
AND

(2) DECLARATORY RELIEF OF
NON-INFRINGEMENT OF
REGISTERED TRADEMARK NO.
3,297,782

1 Plaintiff San Diego Bike & Kayak Tours, Inc. ("SDB&KT") alleges as follows:

2 **THE PARTIES**

3 1. Plaintiff SDB&KT is a California corporation with its principal place of business
4 at 2222 Avenida De La Playa, La Jolla, California, 92037. Plaintiff is located in La Jolla Shores
5 and provides kayak, bike and snorkeling rentals and kayak and bike tours primarily in La Jolla
6 including La Jolla Cove, the La Jolla caves, and La Jolla Shores.

7 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that Defendant La Jolla
8 Kayak & Company, LLC is a California limited liability company with its principal place of
9 business at 2199 Avenida de la Playa, La Jolla, California 92037.

10 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that Defendant La Jolla
11 Kayak, LLC is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 2199 Avenida de la
12 Playa, La Jolla, California 92037, based on La Jolla Kayak, LLC's allegation in its Complaint in
13 Case No. GIC 878641 in California Superior Court for the County of San Diego.

14 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that Defendants Michael
15 Luscomb and Sharon Luscomb, own and operate Defendants La Jolla Kayak & Company, LLC
16 and La Jolla Kayak, LLC, and are individuals residing in the county of San Diego, State of
17 California. Defendants La Jolla Kayak & Company, LLC, La Jolla Kayak, LLC, Michael
18 Luscomb and Sharon Luscomb are referred to herein collectively as "Defendants" or "LJK."

19 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

20 5. This action seeks a declaration that Plaintiff's use of the words "La Jolla" and
21 "kayak" (both separately and together) and variations thereof, do not, and will not, infringe upon
22 any trademark-related rights or other rights of Defendants.

23 6. This Court has original jurisdiction over the claim for declaratory relief under 28
24 U.S.C. §§ 1338 and 2201 because Plaintiff brings this action to determine a question of actual
25 controversy between the parties arising under the trademark laws of the United States, including
26 the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1121 and 1125. This Court also has original jurisdiction under 28
27 U.S.C. § 1338(b) and supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for the related state-law
28 claims.

1 JOLLA KAYAK in the application because the wording is merely descriptive of applicant
2 location and/or where applicant provides its services and the nature of the services.” The
3 examiner explained that “A ‘disclaimer’ is thus a written statement that an applicant adds to the
4 application record that states that applicant does not have exclusive rights, separate and apart
5 from the entire mark, to particular wording and/or to a design aspect.” In response to the
6 examiner, LJK agreed to the disclaimer stating “No claim is made to the exclusive right to use
7 ‘La Jolla Kayak’ apart from the mark as shown.” The disclaimer appears on the face of the
8 Certificate of Registration.

9 12. LJK has asserted that SDB&KT’s use of the words “La Jolla” and “kayak” or
10 forms thereof in advertising its business violates LJK’s allegedly exclusive rights to use those
11 words in advertising its business. On April 7, 2007, counsel for LJK asserted in a letter that the
12 use of “La Jolla” in telephone listings with Verizon and Cingular “is misleading and confusing to
13 the general public” and “is predatory of the goodwill La Jolla Kayak....”

14 13. In addition, on May 31, 2007, in a lawsuit LJK filed against Nicholas Bauman
15 (who is a former employee of LJK and is now a shareholder of SDB&KT) in California Superior
16 Court for the County of San Diego, LJK asserted in its discovery responses that “San Diego Bike
17 and Kayak lists their business phone in information services, Verizon, Cingular, and SBC, under
18 the name ‘La Jolla Kayaking’ . . . The listing of San Diego Bike and Kayak’s phone number
19 under “La Jolla Kayaking” is extremely misleading.” That assertion was made in response to an
20 interrogatory asking for information related to LJK’s claim of unfair competition alleged against
21 Mr. Bauman. In those same discovery responses, LJK also asserted that a “Rack Card”
22 distributed by SDB&KT is “confusingly similar” to a “Rack Card” created and used by LJK and
23 that by using that “Rack Card” SDB&KT misleads and confuses members of the public. Those
24 discovery responses were verified by Defendant Sharon Luscomb as an owner of La Jolla Kayak,
25 LLC.

26 14. On July 13, 2007, counsel for LJK told counsel for SDB&KT that SDB&KT’s
27 use of the phrases such as “La Jolla kayak tours,” “La Jolla kayak rentals” and “La Jolla
28 kayaking” in its internet advertising through companies such as Google and Yahoo! was

1 confusing and misleading to members of the public and violated LJK's exclusive right to use
2 such terms in advertising its business.

3 15. On November 7, 2007, in its litigation against Mr. Bauman, LJK served written
4 discovery seeking information related to SDB&KT's alleged infringement of LJK's trade name
5 and trademark, including SDB&KT's use of AdWords or keywords in advertising on Google,
6 Yahoo! and similar companies. LJK's discovery specifically sought documents and other
7 information regarding SDB&KT's use of the terms "La Jolla kayaking," "La Jolla kayak," "La
8 Jolla kayak rentals" or "a combination of the preceding terms on San Diego Bike and Kayak
9 Tours, Inc.'s website or in any other media of advertising." Upon being made aware of this
10 discovery, SDB&KT became apprehensive that LJK intended to sue SDB&KT for alleged
11 infringement of its claimed trademark rights to "La Jolla Kayak" and "La Jolla Kayaking."

12 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **(Declaratory Relief – Non-Infringement of Unregistered Trademark 14 Under Federal Law and California Law)**

15 16. SDB&KT realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 15 above
16 as through fully set forth herein.

17 17. As a result of Defendants' actions, there is an actual controversy between
18 SDB&KT on the one hand and Defendants on the other as to the parties' rights and legal
19 relations associated with SDB&KT's use of the words "La Jolla" and "kayak" and variations
20 thereof alone and in combination in advertising its goods and services. As set forth above,
21 SDB&KT has a reasonable and real apprehension that it faces an immediate lawsuit by
22 Defendants for infringement of Defendants' alleged non-registered trademark rights under
23 federal law and under the laws of the State of California.

24 18. SDB&KT contends that its use of "La Jolla" and "kayak" and variations thereof
25 does not infringe any federal or state trademark, trade name, competition, or related rights of
26 Defendants and that SDB&KT's actions do not constitute violations of any such rights under any
27 federal or state statute or law, including but not limited to the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125,
28 California Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq., and/or California Business &
Professions Code § 17500 et seq. On information and belief, Defendants contend that

1 SDB&KT's use of those words does infringe federal and state trademark, trade name, unfair
2 competition, and related rights of Defendants.

3 19. SDB&KT intends to continue to use the words "La Jolla" and "kayak" and
4 variations on these words both separately and together in its business in commerce. Thus, an
5 actual case or controversy exists within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 2201 as to whether
6 SDB&KT's use of "La Jolla" and "kayak" and variations thereof in its business of providing
7 kayak, bike and snorkeling rentals and kayak and bike tours in La Jolla constitutes unfair
8 competition or infringes any trademark rights of Defendants. A judicial determination is
9 necessary and appropriate at this time in order that the parties may ascertain their respective
10 rights and obligations, if any.

11 20. SDB&KT does not engage in any activities that harm or threaten any lawful rights
12 of Defendants and is entitled to a declaration to that effect in this action.

13 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

14 **(Declaratory Relief – Non-Infringement Of Registration No. 3,297,782)**

15 21. SDB&KT realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 above
16 as through fully set forth herein.

17 22. As a result of Defendants' actions, there is an actual controversy between
18 SDB&KT on the one hand and Defendants on the other as to the parties' rights and legal
19 relations associated with SDB&KT's "Rack Card" and advertising. As set forth above,
20 SDB&KT has a reasonable and real apprehension that it faces an immediate lawsuit by
21 Defendants for alleged infringement of Defendants' registered trademark rights under
22 Registration No. 3,297,782.

23 23. SDB&KT contends that its use of its "Rack Card" and/or other advertising does
24 not infringe the service mark in Registration No. 3,297,782, or any other federal or state
25 trademark, trade name, or related rights of Defendants. On information and belief, Defendants
26 contend that SDB&KT's "Rack Card" and/or other advertising does infringe federal and state
27 trademark, trade name, and related rights of Defendants.
28

24. SDB&KT intends to continue to use its "Rack Card" and continue its advertising in connection with its business. Thus, an actual case or controversy exists within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 2201 as to whether SDB&KT's use of its "Rack Card" and/or other advertising infringes any valid trademark rights of Defendants. A judicial determination is necessary and appropriate at this time in order that the parties may ascertain their respective rights and obligations, if any.

25. SDB&KT does not engage in any activities that harm or threaten any lawful rights of Defendants and is entitled to a declaration to that effect in this action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff requests:

(a) That the Court declare that SDB&KT's use of the words "La Jolla" and "kayak" and variations thereof (either separately or together) does not infringe upon or violate any trademark, competition, or other rights of Defendants under the laws of the United States or the State of California;

(b) That the Court declare that SDB&KT has not infringed and is not infringing the service mark in Registration No. 3,297,782;

(c) That the Court declare that SDB&KT's activities have not caused any damages or harm to Defendants or brought any unjust enrichment to SDB&KT;

(d) That the Court declare that SDB&KT is not liable to Defendants;

(e) That SDB&KT be awarded its reasonable costs, expenses and attorneys fees to the extent permitted by law; and

(f) That SDB&KT be awarded such further relief as is just and equitable.


DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury on all issues triable by jury.

Dated: November 14, 2007

Respectfully Submitted,

THE TAYLER LAW FIRM, P.C.

By 
William C. Tayler
Attorney for Plaintiff
San Diego Bike & Kayak Tours, Inc.

**UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT**
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN DIEGO DIVISION

**# 144439 - BH
* * C O P Y * *
November 14, 2007
08:36:38**

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #: 07CV2173 CIVIL FILING

Judge.: M. JAMES LORENZ

Amount.: \$350.00 CK

Check#: BC# 1370

Total-> \$350.00

**FROM: SAN DIEGO BIKE & KAYAK V.
LA JOLLA KAYAK
CIVIL FILING**

JS 44
(Rev. 07/89)**CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE SECOND PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

SAN DIEGO BIKE & KAYAK TOURS, INC., a
California corporation

DEFENDANTS

LA JOLLA KAYAK & COMPANY, LLC, a limited
liability company; LA JOLLA KAYAK, LLC, a
California corporation; MICHAEL LUSCOMB; 33
an individual; SHARON LUSCOMB, an
individual

(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF San Diego
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT San Diego
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE
TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

DEPUTY

(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)

THE TAYLER LAW FIRM, P.C.
1804 Garnet Avenue, No. 505
San Diego, CA 92109
(858) 272-8574

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

'07 CV 2173 L (CAB)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
☒ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES

(For Diversity Cases Only)

(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)

- | | PT | DEF | | PT | DEF |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.)

DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY.)

Declaration of Trademark Non-Infringement

Under 15 U.S.C. Section 1125

V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs. <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health <input type="checkbox"/> 680 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (13958) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reappointment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motion to Vacate Sentence HABEAS CORPUS: <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Conditions		

VI. ORIGIN

(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
☐ 2 Removal from State Court
☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened
☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify)
☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation
☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ To be determined
 UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
 JURY DEMAND: ☒ YES ☐ NO

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

JUDGE

Docket Number

DATE
November 14, 2007

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

William C. Tayler

PAID \$350-11/14/07 BM RCT #144439
 :ODMA/PCDOCS/WORDPERFECT/22816/1 January 24, 2000 (3:10pm)

CR